

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/645,457	08/21/2003	Aurelian Bruneau	MSDI-266/PC771.00	7972
52196 KRIEG DEVA	7590 09/13/2007 ·	•	EXAMINER PHILOGENE, PEDRO ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
ONE INDIAN	A SQUARE, SUITE 2800	•		
INDIANAPOL	LIS, IN 46204-2709			
		•	3733	
•				
		• •	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No. Applicant(s)			
	10/645,457	BRUNEAU ET AL.	BRUNEAU ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Pedro Philogene	3733		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ep Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address -	-	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNITY 1.136(a). In no event, however, may od will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mute, cause the application to become	NICATION. Ta reply be timely filed. IONTHS from the mailing date of this communical ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ The Since this application is in condition for allow	nis action is non-final.	atters prosecution as to the morits	e ie	
closed in accordance with the practice under		,	, 13	
Disposition of Claims	,			
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-20 and 68-79 is/are pending in the				
4) Claim(s) 1-20 and 68-79 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20,68-79 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn norn consideration.			
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification of th	ccepted or b) Dobjected t			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	• .		1(d).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attach	ned Office Action or form PTO-152	•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of the priority document of the certified copies of the priority document of the priority docume	nts have been received. Ints have been received in iority documents have been	Application No		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies n	ot received.		
Attachment(s)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper N 5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application		

Application/Control Number: 10/645,457

Art Unit: 3733

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20, 68-79 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Landry et al. (2004/0147928) in view of Whitman et al. (6,517,565).

With respect to claims 1, 10, 68, 74, Landry et al disclose a device for guiding an implant to a location adjacent a bone anchor comprising: a guide member (100) including a connecting portion (104) and a guiding portion (102), wherein with the connecting portion adjacent the bone anchor said guiding portion extends proximally from the connecting portion and is adapted to receive the implant wherein the guiding portion is flexible, the connecting portion includes a body comprising a tapered portion forming a substantially uniform transition between the anchor and the guiding portion; as set forth in page 3, para [0051-0057], page 4, para [0063], para [0070], page 7, para [0100-0101], page 9, para [0112-0115] and as set forth in the claims; and as best seen in FIGS.1-27.

It is noted that Laundry did not teach of a guiding portion positionable between an untaut configuration and a taut configuration; as claimed by applicant. However, in similar art, Whitman et al, column 5, lines 4-27, FIG.3, evidence the use of a shaft portion that is flexible and positionable between an untaut configuration and a taut configuration such that the operator steers the flexible shaft portion as desired.

Therefore, given the teaching of Whitman et al, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Landry et al; as taught by Whitman et al to allow the operator to steer the flexible shaft portion as desired.

With respect to the above claims, it is noted that Landry et al discloses all the limitations, except for a connecting portion including a pair of extensions to releasably engage with the bone anchor; as claimed by applicant. However, in a similar art, Whitman et al., FIG.3, column 6, lines 38-67, evidence the use of an insertion instrument with a pair of extensions to releasably fasten and secure an implant.

Therefore, given the teaching of Whitman et al, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Landry et al, as taught by Whitman et al to releasably fasten and secure an anchor.

With respect to claims 2-9, 11-20,69-73,75-79, the above combination of references teaches all the limitations; as set forth in page 3, para [0051-0057], page 4, para [0063], para [0070], page 7, para [0100-0101], page 9, para [0112-0115] and as set forth in the claims; and as best seen in FIGS.1-27 of Laundry et al; and as set forth in column 5, lines 4-27, column 6, lines 38-67; and as best seen in FIG.3 of Whitman et al.

Response to Amendment

Application/Control Number: 10/645,457

Art Unit: 3733

Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 6/27/07, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-20,68-79 under 102/103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Whitman et al. Whitman et al disclose a guiding portion that is flexible and positionable between an untaut configuration and taut configuration as the implant is guided therealong; as set forth in columns 5-6, lines 1-67 and as best seen in FIG.3.

Conclusion

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pedro Philogene whose telephone number is (571) 272-4716. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on (571) 272 - 4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3733

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 868-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Pedro Philogene September 9, 2007

PEDRO PHILOSENE